

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/023,178	12/17/2001	Gerald Reinders	Mo-6531/HR-245	3294	
7:	590 02/21/2006		EXAMINER		
PENDORF & CUTLIFF			WONG, LESLIE A		
5111 MEMORIAL HIGHWAY TAMPA, FL 33634-7356			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· W
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/023,178	REINDERS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Leslie Wong	1761	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	lanuary 2006.		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	ut(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Information	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D		

Application/Control Number: 10/023,178

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant does not specifically teach the concept of "repeating steps" or what is encompassed by "substantially corresponds."

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hornstein in view of Ashurst.

Hornstein discloses a process for identification of flavor by subjecting isolates and fractions of a flavor to headspace analysis, combining isolates or fractions from separate batch operations for further fractionation and analysis, and using sensory evaluation to evaluate the results (see entire document, especially pages 72 and 83). Hornstein also discloses analysis of a flavor extract under two or three sets of

Application/Control Number: 10/023,178

Art Unit: 1761

conditions (page 83). Hornstein discloses multiple analyses using gas chromatography to obtain a final analysis.

The claims differ as to the specific use of the data to prepare a flavor.

Ashurst discloses flavor manufacture based on analysis of flavor materials (see pages 122-125).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use prepare a flavor as taught by Ashurst from the information provided by Hornstein because the use of flavor analysis to produce flavors is conventional in the art. Applicant uses known steps to obtain expected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/023,178 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW February 16, 2006